UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,078	02/08/2006	Leslie Philip Miranda	AMLN-044	4061	
2 1300	7590 10/24/2007 FIELD & FRANCIS LLP	•	EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVER	SITY AVENUE		HEARD, THOMAS SWEENEY		
SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		10/541,078	MIRANDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thomas S. Heard	1654	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>60-74</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>60-74</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accelerated accelerated and accelerated accelerated accelerated and accelerated a	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) .Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) . Notice of Informal F 6) . Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

The Applicants Amendments to the claims received on 8/30/2007 is acknowledged. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in the action can be found in the prior office action. Rejections or objections not addressed in this office action with respect to the previous office action mailed 3/20/207 are hereby withdrawn.

Claim(s) 60-74 are pending. Applicants have cancelled claim(s) 1-59.

Newly submitted claims 60-74 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: R and R_1 , for example, are not defined as in the prior claim set and change the nature of the invention. Upon further consideration of the cancelled claims and the newly submitted claims, the Examiner is requiring a new Election/Restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 60-72 drawn to a water soluble thioester or selenoester compound.

Group II, claim(s) 73-74 drawn to a method of cleaving a thioesther or selenoester compound.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,078

Art Unit: 1654

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: They are not linked by a special technical feature.

The expression "special technical feature" refers to those features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. Thus, a feature found in the prior art cannot be considered to be a special technical feature. Because the Compounds of Group I is known in the art by reference Sasaki & Koga "Multi-functonalized chiral crown ethers as enzyme models for the synthesis of peptides. Multiple chiral recognition in the enzyme model," J. Inclus. Phen. Mol. Recogo Chem., 1989, 7,267-76, made of record in the previous office action, it cannot be considered a special technical feature. Compound 11, on page 269, reads on Y being a peptide linked to a group compatible with a thioester.

Further, the species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not art-recognized equivalents. The Amino Acid of Y is not an art recognized equivalent of a Peptide, which is not an art recognized equivalent of a Polypeptide, and do not share a common core structure.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Peptide, Amino Acids, and Polypeptides.

Water Soluble polymers.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. That is, Applicant is required to elect a single embodiment wherein all variable are particularly defined. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species,

Art Unit: 1654

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: nearly all claims are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not artrecognized equivalents.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas S. Heard whose telephone number is (571) 272-2064. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Thomas S. Heard** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2064**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/541,078

Art Unit: 1654

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9 199 (IN-USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas S. Heard

United States Patent and Trade Office

Remsen 3B21 (571) 272-2064 Art Unit 1654

Jecilia J. Tsang

Page 5

Studentieory Fatent Examine (Laborated Center 1600)